# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School:	0400 - Flvs Full Time 9 12
District:	71 - FL Virtual
Principal:	Katie Santana
SAC Chair:	Shawn Wigg
Superintendent:	Mrs. Julie Young
School Board Approval Date:	11/12/2013
Last Modified on:	10/18/2013



Pam Stewart, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

	Physical	Mailing
Address:	2145 METROCENTER BLVD STE 200 Orlando, FL 32835	2145 METROCENTER BLVD STE 200 Orlando, FL 32835
Phone Number:	772-237-0605	
Web Address:	www.flvs.net	
Email Address:	ksantana@flvs.net	

School Type:		High S	School	
Alternative:		N	lo	
Charter:		Ν	lo	
Title I:		Ye	es	
Free/Reduced Lunch:	39%			
Minority:	34%			
School Grade History:	<u>2012-13</u> PENDING	<u>2011-12</u>	<u>2010-11</u>	<u>2009-10</u>

NOTE

Sections marked N/A in the online application have been excluded from this document. For privacy reasons, data representing fewer than 10 students or teachers have been excluded from this document

Current School Status	
School Information	
School-Level Information	
School Flvs Full Time 9 12	
Principal's name Katie Santa	na
School Advisory Council cha	air's name Shawn Wigg
Names and position titles of	the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT)
Name	Title
Elizabeth Gagne	Assistant Principal
Ryan King	Assistant Principal
Jami Covert	Assistant Principal
District-Level Information	
District FL Virtual	
Superintendent's name Mrs.	Julie Young
Date of school board approv	al of SIP 11/12/2013
School Advisory Council (SAC) This section meets the requirements of S	Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).
Rivera The principal's responsibilities are -Per statute, be a voting member -Work with SAC chair to develop \$	Marbra, Shawn Wigg (SAC chair) Rentas Torres Iding-Dhein, Tara Conklin, Andrea Melo, Lucretia Cowart, Nancy
	e SAC in the development of this school improvement plan Is for the school year, and vote to determine final plan to move
The SAC will assist in monitoring updates to the SIP at the end of the	AC for the upcoming school year the School Improvement Plan. The SAC will also assist in making ne first, second, and third quarters to reflect current strategy strategy data checks, student evaluation data, professional updates.
Describe the projected use of s each project	chool improvement funds and include the amount allocated to

	through tools such as Prepworl	ement funds will be to provide assis <s and="" island.<="" study="" th=""><th></th></s>	
(		compliance with Section 1001.452 School Advisory Council by selec	
	If no, describe the measures Determining SAC and bringing	being taken to comply with SAC in the approval of the SIP	requirements
This	hly Qualified Staff s section meets the requirements o J.S.C. § 6314(b).	of Sections 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1	()(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified
	Administrators		
	# Administrators 4		
	# Receiving Effective ratin	g or higher (not entered because b	basis is < 10)
	Administrator Information Katie Santana	:	
	Principal	Years as Administrator: 3	Years at Current School: 2
	Credentials	BS Sociology MA Educational Leadership Florida Certifications in Educa 6 - 12 ESOL Endorsement	ational Leadership and Mathem
	Performance Record	Instructional Leader with FLVS Assistant Principal with FLVS	-
	Ryan King		
	Asst Principal	Years as Administrator:	Years at Current School: 1
	Credentials	Degrees BA in Education MEd in Education EdS in Educational Leadershi Certifications Educational Leadership, (all L Mathematics, (grades 5 - 9) Elementary Education, (grade English For Speakers Of Othe 5 years as an administrator 3 years at FLVS	evels)
	Performance Record		

Jami Covert			
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator:	Years at Current School: 1	
Credentials	5 years as AP BS in Elementary Ed. Masters in Educational Lea Reading Endorsed ESOL Endorsed	dership	
Performance Record	Oversee Discipline, Attenda Create Master Schedule Develop School Improveme ESE Administrator ESOL Administrator RTI Coordinator AVID Coordinator Cambridge Supervisor Dual Enrollment Liaison ACT Supervisor Supervisor of Beginning Ter	ent/Title II Plan	
Elizabeth Gagne			
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator:	Years at Current School: 3	
Credentials	Masters of Science - Educa	Bachelor of Arts - Business Administration Masters of Science - Educational Leadership Certified Math 6-12, Business Ed 6-12, Educational Leadershi	
Performance Record	in the role of Assistant Prine 3 years. She supervises the	Florida Virtual School for 6 years a cipal with Florida Virtual Full Time e counseling program and academ ole for overseeing the first set of 23 012-2013.	
structional Coaches			
# Instructional Coaches 2			
# Receiving Effective ratin	<b>ig or higher</b> (not entered becaus	e basis is < 10)	
Instructional Coach Inform	nation:		
Lena Gatza			
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 1	Years at Current School: 8	
Areas	Mathematics		
Credentials	-	tifications – Elementary Education 5-9, Math 6-12, and Social Science	
Performance Record	Created and presented FC/ Created and presented wee	ace to Face Math/Science days , AT information sessions for parent ekly FCAT sessions by grade level C information and skills on a weel	

Full time / School based	Vooro oo Cooch: 2	Vooro at Current Cahaali 7
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 3	Years at Current School: 7
Areas	Reading/Literacy	
Credentials	Masters (plus 36) 10 state Certification and Advance	certifications plus National Board d Placement US History.
Performance Record	and technology practices if FLVS students and teacher o Celebrate Literacy Weel o FLVS Literacy Team Lea 2012-2013 o Florida Department of E Transition o Florida Department of E Area Reading o FLVS Certified Trainer: F o FLVS Certified Trainer: S the Work" o Presenter: 2008-2012 P o Presenter: 2011 Internat Online Literacy Coaching o Presenter: 2010 FETC: S in 60 Minutes or Less. o Pioneered Vodcasting to use of videos that serve to experiences and increase o Cultivated relationships prospective clients at FLVS School Convention, FBLA o Served on FLVS intervie o FLVS Reading Leaderst	<ul> <li>Events Coordinator (2012 and 201 ad Professional Development</li> <li>ducation Trainer: Common Core</li> <li>ducation Trainer: NextGen Content</li> <li>Ruby Payne Understanding Poverty</li> <li>Schlechty Center (WOW), "Working</li> <li>resenter at FLVS Staff Conference</li> <li>ional Reading Association (IRA):</li> <li>80's Retro Café: Professional Learni</li> <li>promote student success through t</li> <li>enhance student learning</li> <li>student motivation.</li> <li>with FLVS families, vendors and</li> <li>S events such as the Florida Home</li> <li>and Barnes and Noble.</li> <li>w committee</li> <li>and demonstrated FLVS course</li> </ul>
assroom Teachers		
# of classroom teachers 64		
# receiving effective rating of		
# Highly Qualified Teacher (	HQT), as defined in 20 U.S.C	<b>:. § 7801(23)</b> 100%
# certified in-field, pursuant	to Section 1012.2315(2), F.S	64, 100%
# ESOL endorsed 40, 63%		
# reading endorsed 7, 11%		
# with advanced degrees 40	, 63%	
<b># National Board Certified</b> 7	, 11%	

#### # with 6-14 years of experience 39, 61%

**# with 15 or more years of experience** 22, 34%

#### Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

# Describe your school's strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school; include the person responsible.

Florida Virtual School has a team of skilled recruiters that focus on year-round recruiting, outreach, and networking activities to build a pool of teacher candidates to meet our students' needs. Eligible teacher candidates must have a Florida DOE-issued teaching certificate and required

endorsements as defined by state standards and expectations at the time of application in order to be considered for an instructional position with FLVS. FLVS prides itself in hiring teachers that are considered highly qualified and in-field by state standards. In order to meet student demand, FLVS may employ certified instructional personnel in an out of field teaching assignment. This is done in full compliance with Florida Statute 1012.42.

FLVS provides a thorough training plan for newly hired teachers that provides a balance of inperson and virtual classroom-style components and application activities in which the new teacher is provided the opportunity to observe and be observed by a partnering Lead Teacher. Frequent feedback and discussion sessions are built into the training process to ensure the new teacher is receiving the information needed to be successful. Extending beyond the training period, FLVS teachers enjoy open-door communication with their Principals, Assistant Principals, and subject matter experts to build upon their knowledge and effectiveness as a teacher. Aligned with state evaluation requirements, the teacher evaluation plan provides a rigorous review of the teacher's performance and impact on student success. The teacher receives the support and development to improve in identified areas through partnership with their Principal/Assistance Principal, a library of in-house training/development materials, and external resources.

FLVS teachers enjoy a competitive salary and benefits package, extensive professional development, and opportunities for personal and professional growth. Some teacher candidates might come to FLVS to enjoy a virtual workplace, but many stay simply for the truly student-centered culture.

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

# Describe your school's teacher mentoring program/plan including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities

The FLVS FT 9 - 12 program utilizes a peer to peer mentoring plan. Teachers who have successfully completed a full year within the FLVS FT 9 - 12 program, are eligible to work as a mentor for a new hire. We partner new teachers with a peer who teaches within the same course/ content, so that not only can they provide strategies for a successful school year in the online environment, but course/content specific strategies as well.

**Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Intervention (Rtl)** This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Describe your school's data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of your MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs

If the FLVSFT has reason to suspect that a student enrolled in the program may be a student with a disability in need of special education and related services, FLVSFT Student Support Team (SST) will initiate Response to Intervention (RtI) with the student. Rtl is a method of developing and implementing research based instruction and interventions based on a three tiered model. The Rtl model used by FLVSFT integrates core instruction (Tier 1), supplemental instruction/interventions (Tier 2), and intensive interventions (Tier 3). At each tier level, progress monitoring is implemented and reported to serve as written documentation of activities, meetings, and responsible personnel. Student Support Team (SST) is a general education, data driven, decision-making committee whose standing members consist of at least the principal/designee, a classroom teacher, and the referring teacher. Additionally, School Counselors, Master Teachers, Reading Coach, Special Education Teachers, and SLPs may be on the SST team if applicable. Contracted service personal including but not limited to School Psychologist, Occupational Therapists, Physical Therapist, and Mental Health Counselor are invited to participate on the SST team if applicable to the specific student in review. In discussing an individual student's difficulties, the student's parent or guardian is an invited participant. Parents are provided a report or summary by the SST on the status of the student's response to scientifically research-based interventions, which would include repeated assessments of achievement at reasonable intervals, reflecting formal assessment of student progress during instruction. If the student completes all three tiers of Rtl, the SST (which includes the parent) will determine if the student should be referred for a Special Education Evaluation to determine if the student meets eligibility for special education services. Throughout the year, both teachers and Learning Coaches may detect that a student is having difficulties with learning and may believe there could be a need for special education assistance. If this is suspected, the teacher will first help the Learning Coach implement a series of program modifications. If those documented strategies fail, he or she will then refer the student to the school's Student Support Team (SST). This team will meet and suggest additional strategies and considerations, and they will also work to gather more information about the student's learning history and profile. A Response to Intervention (RTI) process is followed for any student that is not making progress in the grade level curriculum. Multi-tiered interventions are implemented and monitored weekly or bi-monthly depending on the needs of the individual student. The SST team will collect data and consult with a member of the special education team. If the recommended strategies and interventions do not have a positive impact, the SST team (along with the parent) will officially refer the student to the school's special education team. They may even consult with a member of the special education team. If after all the recommended strategies fail, the team (along with the parent) will officially refer the student to the school's special education team. Once the team receives the referral they will begin the process of determining if the student is in need of a special education evaluation, an IEP, and ultimately special education services. At any time in this process, parents may formally request that the school evaluate the student for suspected disabilities.

# What is the function and responsibility of each school-based leadership team member as related to the school's MTSS and the SIP?

School Counselors, Master Teachers, Reading Coach, Special Education Teachers, and SLPs may be on the SST team if applicable. Contracted service personal including but not limited to School Psychologist, Occupational Therapists, Physical Therapist, and Mental Health Counselor are invited to participate on the SST team if applicable to the specific student in review.

# Describe the systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP

The SST team will collect data and consult with a member of the special education team. If the recommended strategies and interventions do not have a positive impact, the SST team (along with the parent) will officially refer the student to the school's special education team. They may even consult with a member of the special education team.

Describe the data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement (e.g., behavior, attendance)

The SST team will collect data and consult with a member of the special education team. If the recommended strategies and interventions do not have a positive impact, the SST team (along with the parent) will officially refer the student to the school's special education team. They may even consult with a member of the special education team.

# Describe the plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based problem solving for staff and parents

The school will provide training to the teaching staff, to ensure their compliance and participation in the RTI process, through faculty meetings, schoolwide professional development, PLCs, and data chats. Parents of students in the RTI process will be included in conversations to provide information as to student performance, learning gains.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT

Name	Title
Katie Santana	Principal
Elizabeth Gagne	Asst. Principal
Ryan King	Asst. Principal
Jami Covert	Asst. Principal
Cristina Naso	District Literacy
Lena Gatza	Math Coach
Jeanne Giardano-Zanegood	Literacy Coach
Stephanie Fabela	Reading Instructor
Michelle Johnston	Reading Instructor

**Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes, roles, functions)** The team works to coach teachers on how to implement reading strategies across the content areas. The LLT identifies the areas of reading that need improvement and assists administration in identifying the appropriate professional development to assist teachers in choosing the best strategies for intervention, how to use the strategy in the classroom, and how to monitor the use of the strategy. The LLT also plays an integral role in monitoring the strategies and interventions that are part of the RtI process for Florida Virtual School.

#### What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiatives this year for the LLT will be to work with our struggling readers, by providing interventions via the Intensive Reading course, or their English courses to ensure their improvement in reading. We will also be working with our students who struggle to pass the FCAT Reading exam, to prepare for the ACT

#### **Every Teacher Contributes to Reading Instruction**

### Describe how the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student

All teacher are provided with the opportunity to complete NGCARPD training to better provide reading through the curriculum. Currently 10 of our teachers have completed this training, and the goal is to have all teachers trained by the close of the 2013-2014 school year. The FLVS curriculum used,

provides many opportunities for reading in the course to allow teachers the opportunity to provide reading instruction through the curriculum

#### **College and Career Readiness**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa)-(cc), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

### How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

We provide students with course selections to participate in a Career and Technical Education track, which provides the opportunity to receive industry certifications in: Microsoft Office during the completion of the CCC course. Upon completion of the Foundations of Web/User Interface courses, students who qualify will have the opportunity to earn the Adobe Certified Associate (ACA) Web Communication using Adobe Dreamweaver certification.

How does the school promote academic and career planning, including advising on course selections, so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful? Guidance Counselors are highly involved in the college and career planning to ensure that students are taking the right rigor of courses necessary for their future plans. Counselors help identify student interests, and coach them into elective options that may provide the opportunity for industry

certifications, as well as diploma designations, and state scholarships(Bright Futures).

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>, which is maintained by the Department of Education, pursuant to Rule 6A-10.038, F.A.C

Due to our district data not being available in the High School Feedback Report, we will address and adjust if data is available for review.

#### Expected Improvements

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(A),(H), and (I), and 1115(c)(1)(A), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### Area 1: Reading

### Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students		68%		
American Indian		0%		
Asian		60%		
Black/African American		71%		
Hispanic		68%		
White		66%		
English language learners		0%		
Students with disabilities		55%		
Economically disadvantaged		64%		
Florida Comprehensive Assess	ment Test 2.0 (F	CAT 2.0)		
		2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Lev	rel 3	506	68%	69%
Students scoring at or above Achieve	ement Level 4	302	39%	42%
Learning Gains				
		2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (FCA	T 2.0 and FAA)		70%	71%
Students in lowest 25% making learn 2.0)	ing gains (FCAT		68%	69%
Postsecondary Readiness				
		2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
On-time graduates scoring "college re Postsecondary Education Readiness any college placement test authorized 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.	Test (P.E.R.T.) or	50	44%	50%
Area 2: Writing				
		2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
	t 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)	238	59%	61%
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Tes Students scoring at or above 3.5				
•	dents scoring at	[data excluded for	privacy reasons]	0%
Students scoring at or above 3.5 Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Stud	dents scoring at	[data excluded for	privacy reasons]	0%

Group 2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	64%		
American Indian	0%		
Asian	82%		
Black/African American	65%		
Hispanic	65%		
White	62%		
English language learners	0%		
Students with disabilities	52%		
Economically disadvantaged	61%		
Learning Gains			
	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (EOC and FAA)		65%	66%
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (EOC	)	55%	56%
Postsecondary Readiness			
	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.	-	r privacy reasons]	50%
Algebra I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment			
	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	164	53%	56%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	58	19%	25%
Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment			
	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	160	59%	62%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	66	24%	27%
Area 4: Science			
Biology I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment			
	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	327	71%	74%

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Targ
# of STEM-related experiences provided for students (e.g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs) Participation in STEM-related experiences provided for students	2		4
Area 6: Career and Technical Education (CTE)			
	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Targe
Students enrolling in one or more CTE courses	0	0%	2014 Targe 25%
Students who have completed one or more CTE courses who enroll in one or more <i>accelerated</i> courses	0	0%	25%
Completion rate (%) for CTE students enrolled in accelerated courses		0%	75%
Students taking CTE industry certification exams	0	0%	75%
Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE industry certification exams		0%	50%
CTE program concentrators	0	0%	25%
CTE teachers holding appropriate industry certifications	0	0%	100%
Area 8: Early Warning Systems			
High School Indicators	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Targe
Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time	0	21%	15%
Students in ninth grade with one or more absences within the first 20 days	0	22%	15%
Students in ninth grade who fail two or more courses in any subject	0	21%	15%
Students with grade point average less than 2.0	0	14%	10%
Students who fail to progress on-time to tenth grade	0	21%	15%
Students who receive two or more behavior referrals	0	0%	0%
Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that leads to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S.	0	0%	0%
Graduation			
	2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Targe
Students dropping out of school, as defined in s.1003.01(9), F.S.			10%
Students graduating in 4 years, using criteria for the federal uniform graduation rate defined in the Code of Federal Regulations at 34 C.F.R. § 200.19(b)			80%
Academically at-risk students graduating in 4 years, as			85%
defined in Rule 6A-1.09981, F.A.C.			

Title I Schools may use the Parent Involvement Plan to meet the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(F) and 1115(c)(1)(G), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

#### Describe parental involvement targets for your school

Florida Virtual School Full-Time promotes the belief that parents are the first teachers in a student's life. In order for students to be successful, parents are provided multiple means and opportunities to be actively involved in their child(ren)'s academic career. Schools must work with parents to engage students in meaningful participation in order to reach the district's goals for highest student achievement.

Parent involvement is an integral part of student success. FLVSFT 9-12 will involve parents in all aspects of its Title I programs. These programs will be planned and operated with meaningful consultation with parents of participating children, including the school-parent compact. The SAC has the responsibility of evaluating the various school level plans, including the SIP and the PIP. More than 50% of the members of the SAC are parent (non-employee) representatives. All parents are given the opportunity to review the plan and offer their input prior to approval. The PIP was sent to all parents via webmail (with a read receipt, read required specification) and placed on the school website. Parents were also provided with the Parent Satisfaction Survey at the end of the school year requesting their input regarding curriculum, parent involvement activities, school communication, and student achievement. Results were shared with parents and also the Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) to obtain suggestions for change. During the PAC, the PIP was discussed with input from parents on how the parental involvement funds would be used.

FLVS FT 9-12 has the unique ability to communicate with parents in a variety of ways. Meetings are held virtually and are recorded for the convenience of those who are unable to attend. This provides the flexibility for families to be involved. In addition, phone conferencing and video conferencing are available. Agendas, minutes and relevant information are readily available to all families as it is posted on the school website.

FLVSFT 9-12 offers a variety of programs, resources, and activities to support parents/learning coaches using all available technologies as well as face to face meetings. Parents and students can attend a variety of face-to-face events including local grassroots activities, beginning of the year and end of the year events, field trips, and open house events.

FLVSFT 9-12 makes effective use of WebMail, LiveLessons, message boards, and telephone to distribute information to parents who are typically online each school day. Quarterly newsletters announce upcoming school events and are available online to all parents. Official communications from the school about compliance or discipline issues are also provided via hard copy mail. The school also develops and makes available, via Connexus, a state-supplement school handbook that details all policies and procedures specific to the schools. The school has access to bilingual staff members who can assist non-English speaking parents. As a virtual school, FLVSFT 9-12 is able to use technology and the working partnership between parents/learning coaches and the school staff to facilitate the full participation of parents who might otherwise face barriers to involvement. For example, parents with disabilities who might otherwise find it difficult to participate in their child's brick and mortar classroom, readily interact in the virtual school.

#### **Specific Parental Involvement Targets**

Target

2013 Actual # 2013 Actual % 2014 Target %

#### **Goals Summary**

#### Goal #1:

Improve student readiness for college and career pathways.

#### Goal #2:

Increase the percentage of students earning a Level 4 on the FCAT Reading 2.0 from 39% to 42%.

#### Goal #3:

Increase the percentage of students earning a Level 3.5 or higher on FCAT 2.0 Writing from 59% to 62%.

#### Goal #4:

Continue to meet the learning gains percentage for students within the lowest 25%.

#### Goal #5:

Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Geometry EOC from 59% to 62%.

#### Goal #6:

Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Algebra EOC from 53% to 56%.

Goal #7:

Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Biology EOC from 71% to 74%.

oals Detail	
Goal #1:	Improve student readiness for college and career pathways.
Targets Supported	<ul> <li>d Reading - AMO's</li> <li>Reading - FCAT2.0</li> <li>Reading - Postsecondary Readiness</li> <li>Math - Elementary and Middle AMO's</li> <li>Math - High School Postsecondary Readiness</li> <li>Algebra 1 EOC</li> <li>Geometry EOC</li> </ul>
Resources Available to Support the Goal	English 4: College Prep Math for College Readiness
Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal	Number of Level 1 and 2's
	Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal
Action: College and Career	r Readiness course data Track ACT/SAT data throughout school year
Person or Persons Principals Assistant	<b>s Responsible:</b> t Principals Assessment team Counselors
Target Dates or So Quarterly	chedule:
Evidence of Comp PERT results ACT/	oletion: SAT results FCAT Reading results Algebra I EOC results

Goal #2:	Increase the percentage of students earning a Level 4 on the FCAT Reading 2.0 from 39% to 42%.
Targets Supported	<ul> <li>Reading</li> <li>Reading - AMO's</li> <li>Reading - FCAT2.0</li> <li>Reading - FAA</li> <li>Reading - Learning Gains</li> <li>Reading - CELLA</li> <li>Reading - Postsecondary Readiness</li> </ul>
Resources Available to Support the Goal	<ul> <li>High performing students in grades 8 and 9, have been identified to participate in Honors Level English courses, which provide the additional rigor necessary to improve reading skills and strategies to improve their achievement level from the 2012-2013 school year. Participation in Live Lessons held weekly by their teachers, who will provide strategies for FCAT preparation.</li> </ul>
Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal	<ul> <li>Increasing complexity of test as grade level increases.</li> </ul>
	Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal
Person or Persons	rincipals Reading/Literacy Coaches Counselors Assessment team Teachers
Throughout the scho	
Evidence of Comple Progress monitoring	etion: assessment results Module exam results FCAT 2.0 Reading scores in June
Goal #3:	Increase the percentage of students earning a Level 3.5 or higher on FCAT 2.0 Writing from 59% to 62%.
Targets Supported	<ul><li>Reading - AMO's</li><li>Writing</li></ul>
Resources Available to Support the Goal	• For the 2013-2014 school year, FLVS FT will utilize the newly released English 2 course, which provides rigor, and is tied to common core, allowing extra opportunity for writing practice via course curriculum. Practice with writing prompt examples to ensure practice is occurring, in addition to writing across the curriculum.
Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal	Difficulty with the writing prompt.

#### Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

#### Action:

Use of progress monitoring strategies to guide instruction and allow for differentiated instruction based on student individual needs. Analysis of school-wide practice writing data. Collection of student writing samples Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principals Assistant Principals Assessment and Accountability Team Teachers Reading/Literacy Coaches District support

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

Quarterly

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Practice Writing assessment results Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs Student writing sample data FCAT 2.0 Writing results from February 2014

Goal #4:	Continue to meet the learning gains percentage for students within the lowest 25%.
Targets Supported	<ul> <li>Reading - AMO's</li> <li>Reading - Learning Gains</li> <li>Math - High School</li> <li>Math - High School AMO's</li> <li>Math - High School Postsecondary Readiness</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Algebra 1 EOC</li> <li>Geometry EOC</li> </ul>
Resources Available to Support the Goal	• Utilizing a Lead Teacher model, who will work with the students that have not passed the Algebra EOC, to ensure extra support is provided in their preparation for the Algebra EOC. Students will participate in the Intensive Math course to work to improve their math skills
Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal	
	Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal
Action:	
Person or Persons	Responsible:
Target Dates or Sc	hedule:
Evidence of Comp	letion:

Goal #5:	Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Geometry EOC from 59% to 62%.
Targets Supported	<ul> <li>Math - High School AMO's</li> <li>Math - High School Postsecondary Readiness</li> <li>Geometry EOC</li> </ul>
Resources Available to Support the Goal	<ul> <li>Intensive Math, PLC, Geometry EOC review sessions, Geometry Test Talks, 3 live lessons per week, and a new course, geared towards Common Core, and increased rigor. Student tutorial sessions provided by the Geometry team and resources including, Mobile Me, Shmoop, and Prepworks.</li> </ul>
Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal	<ul> <li>Deficiencies in mathematical development especially with basic skill.</li> </ul>
	Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

#### Action:

Evaluation of lessons where students need the greatest amount of assistance. Course and Live Lesson observations/walkthroughs PLC record and logs Develop strategies through Geometry PLC exposing students to the necessary skills through technology required for success on EOC.

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

monthly

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Data from Geometry PLC - analyzed and reviewed course content and Live Lesson reviews from previous year. Implementation skill building materials. Administrator Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs and/or observations Data from Geometry module assessments. Data from Live Lesson EOC reviews and other technology based sessions: ePAT, PrepWorks, Schmoop Geometry EOC assessment scores from Fall, Winter and Spring test administration windows.

Goal #6:	Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Algebra EOC from 53% to 56%.
Targets Supported	<ul> <li>Math - High School AMO's</li> <li>Math - High School Postsecondary Readiness</li> <li>Algebra 1 EOC</li> </ul>
Resources Available to Support the Goal	<ul> <li>Intensive Math, PLC, Algebra 1 EOC review sessions, Algebra 1 Test Talks, 3 live lessons per week, and a new course, geared towards Common Core, and increased rigor. Student tutorial sessions provided by the Algebra team and resources including, Mobile Me, Shmoop, Algebranation, and Prepworks.</li> </ul>
Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal	<ul> <li>Deficiencies in mathematical development especially with basic skill.</li> </ul>

#### Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

#### Action:

Evaluation of lessons where students need the greatest amount of assistance. Course and Live Lesson observations/walkthroughs PLC record and logs Develop strategies through Algebra PLC exposing students to the necessary skills through technology required for success on EOC.

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach

#### **Target Dates or Schedule:**

monthly

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Data from Algebra PLC - analyzed and reviewed course content and Live Lesson reviews from previous year. Implementation skill building materials. Administrator Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs and/or observations Data from Algebra module assessments. Data from Live Lesson EOC reviews and other technology based sessions: ePAT, PrepWorks, Algebranation, Schmoop Algebra EOC assessment scores from Fall, Winter and Spring test administration windows.

Goal #7:	Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Biology EOC from 71% to 74%.
Targets Supported	Reading - AMO's
	Reading - Learning Gains
	<ul> <li>Reading - Postsecondary Readiness</li> </ul>
	Writing
	<ul> <li>Math - High School AMO's</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Math - High School Postsecondary Readiness</li> </ul>
	Science - Biology 1 EOC
Resources Available to Support the Goal	<ul> <li>Student tutorial sessions provided by the Biology team and resources including biology live lessons, EOC review sessions, student participation in mock biolog EOC questions.</li> </ul>
Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal	Level of understanding in the Biology course.
	Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal
Action:	
Person or Persons	Responsible:
Target Dates or Sc	hedule:
Evidence of Comp	letion:
ion Plan for Improv	ement

ncreasing complexity of test as grade level increases.
Provide teachers with authentic information and examples regarding the difficulty of eading passages and question complexity consistent with FCAT 2.0.
o #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity
e

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals Reading/Literacy Coaches Counselors Assessment team Teachers

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

Throughout the school year.

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Progress monitoring assessments Module exams

#### Facilitator:

FLVS FT Administration, Reading coaches, Connections Academy, Accountability, Assessment

#### Participants:

Principal Assistant Principals Reading/Literacy coaches Teachers

#### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #2 to Goal #2

#### Action:

Course walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student work samples

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals Reading/Literacy Coaches Counselors Assessment team Teachers

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

Throughout the school year.

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Progress monitoring assessments Module exams

#### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #2 to Goal #2

#### Action:

Course walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student work samples Module exams

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals Reading/Literacy Coaches Counselors Assessment team Teachers

#### **Target Dates or Schedule:**

Throughout the school year.

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Progress monitoring assessment results Module exam results

Increase the percentage of students earning a Level 4 on the FCAT Reading 2.0 from 39% to 42%.
Increasing complexity of test as grade level increases.
Ensure effective reading strategies are being taught and reinforced in all courses and through Live Lessons.
Step #1 to Implement Strategy #2 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity
ughs Course instruction reviews Student work samples
ons Responsible: nt Principals Reading/Literacy Coaches Counselors Assessment team Teachers

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Progress monitoring assessments Module exams

#### Facilitator:

Professional Development Team

#### Participants:

Principals Reading/Literacy Coaches Teachers

#### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #2 to Goal #2

#### Action:

Course walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student work samples

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals Reading/Literacy Coaches Counselors Assessment team Teachers

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

Throughout the school year

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Progress monitoring assessments Module exams

#### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #2 for Overcoming Barrier #2 to Goal #2

#### Action:

Course walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student work samples Module exams

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals Reading/Literacy Coaches Counselors Assessment team Teachers

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

Throughout the school year

#### Evidence of Completion:

Progress monitoring assessment results Module exam results

Goal #3:	Increase the percentage of students earning a Level 3.5 or higher on FCAT 2.0 Writing from 59% to 62%.
Barrier #1:	Difficulty with the writing prompt.
Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier	Professional Development for teachers regarding FCAT 2.0 Writing rubric and writing opportunities in varied curricular areas.

#### Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

#### Action:

Use of progress monitoring strategies to guide instruction and allow for differentiated instruction based on student individual needs. Analysis of school-wide practice writing data. Collection of student writing samples Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principals Assistant Principals Assessment and Accountability Team Teachers Reading/Literacy Coaches District support

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

Quarterly

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Practice Writing assessment results Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs Student writing sample data

#### Facilitator:

FLVS FT Administration, Reading coaches, Connections Academy, Accountability, Assessment

#### Participants:

Principals Assistant Principals Assessment and Accountability Team Teachers Reading/Literacy Coaches

#### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #3

#### Action:

Use of progress monitoring strategies to guide instruction and allow for differentiated instruction based on student individual needs. Analysis of school-wide practice writing data. Collection of student writing samples Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principals Assistant Principals Assessment and Accountability Team Teachers Reading/Literacy Coaches District support

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

Quarterly

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Practice Writing assessment results Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs Student writing sample data

#### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #3

#### Action:

Use of progress monitoring strategies to guide instruction and allow for differentiated instruction based on student individual needs. Analysis of school-wide practice writing data. Collection of student writing samples Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principals Assistant Principals Assessment and Accountability Team Teachers Reading/Literacy Coaches District support

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

Quarterly

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Practice Writing assessment results Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs Student writing sample data

Goal #5:	Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Geometry EOC from 59% to 62%.
Barrier #2:	Deficiencies in mathematical development especially with basic skill.
Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier	Geometry PLC will review scaffolding strategies to implement into curriculum through Live Lessons and one-on-one assistance.

#### Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

#### Action:

Evaluation of lessons where students need the greatest amount of assistance. Course and Live Lesson observations/walkthroughs PLC record and logs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

monthly

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Data from Geometry PLC - analyzed and reviewed course content and Live Lesson reviews from previous year. Implementation skill building materials.

#### Facilitator:

FLVS FT Administration, Math coaches, Connections Academy, Accountability, Assessment, PD, STEM

#### **Participants:**

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #2 to Goal #5

#### Action:

Evaluation of lessons where students need the greatest amount of assistance. Course and Live Lesson observations/walkthroughs PLC record and logs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

monthly

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Data from Geometry PLC - analyzed and reviewed course content and Live Lesson reviews from previous year. Implementation skill building materials.

#### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #2 to Goal #5

#### Action:

Evaluation of lessons where students need the greatest amount of assistance. Course and Live Lesson observations/walkthroughs PLC record and logs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach

### Target Dates or Schedule:

monthly

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Data from Geometry PLC - analyzed and reviewed course content and Live Lesson reviews from previous year. Implementation skill building materials.

Goal #6:	Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Algebra EOC from 53% to 56%.
Barrier #2:	Deficiencies in mathematical development especially with basic skill.
Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier	Algebra PLC will review scaffolding strategies to implement into curriculum through Live Lessons and one-on-one assistance.

#### Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

#### Action:

Evaluation of lessons where students need the greatest amount of assistance. Course and Live Lesson observations/walkthroughs PLC record and logs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

monthly

#### Evidence of Completion:

Data from Algebra PLC - analyzed and reviewed course content and Live Lesson reviews from previous year. Implementation skill building materials.

#### Facilitator:

FLVS FT Administration, Math coaches, Connections Academy, Accountability, Assessment, PD, STEM

#### Participants:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #2 to Goal #6

#### Action:

Evaluation of lessons where students need the greatest amount of assistance. Course and Live Lesson observations/walkthroughs PLC record and logs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

monthly

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Data from Algebra PLC - analyzed and reviewed course content and Live Lesson reviews from previous year. Implementation skill building materials.

#### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #2 to Goal #6

#### Action:

Evaluation of lessons where students need the greatest amount of assistance. Course and Live Lesson observations/walkthroughs PLC record and logs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach

### Target Dates or Schedule:

monthly

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Data from Algebra PLC - analyzed and reviewed course content and Live Lesson reviews from previous year. Implementation skill building materials.

Goal #7:	Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Biology EOC from 71% to 74%.
Barrier #1:	Level of understanding in the Biology course.
Strategy #1 to Overcome the Barrier	Focus on teaching and reinforcing science process skills.

#### Step #1 to Implement Strategy #1 - Budget Item - PD Opportunity

#### Action:

Biology PLC meetings Biology Lesson Study within PLC to determine areas of skill development. Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Biology Teachers

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

monthly

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Data derived from Biology module assessments. Data from Live Lessons and EOC reviews. Discussion Based Assessments

#### Facilitator:

FLVS FT Administration, Math coaches, Connections Academy, Accountability, Assessment, PD, STEM

#### Participants:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Biology Teachers

### Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #7

#### Action:

Biology PLC meetings Biology Lesson Study within PLC to determine areas of skill development. Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Biology Teachers

#### Target Dates or Schedule:

monthly

#### **Evidence of Completion**

Data derived from Biology module assessments. Data from Live Lessons and EOC reviews. Discussion Based Assessments

#### Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of Strategy #1 for Overcoming Barrier #1 to Goal #7

#### Action:

Biology PLC meetings Biology Lesson Study within PLC to determine areas of skill development. Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs

#### Person or Persons Responsible:

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Biology Teachers

#### **Target Dates or Schedule:** monthly

#### **Evidence of Completion:**

Data derived from Biology module assessments. Data from Live Lessons and EOC reviews. Discussion Based Assessments Biology EOC results from Fall, Winter, and Spring administration windows.

#### **Coordination and Integration**

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(J) and 1115(c)(1)(H), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Describe how federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include Title I, Part A; Title I, Part C Migrant; Title I, Part D; Title II; Title III; Title VI, Part B; Title X Homeless; Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI); violence prevention programs; nutrition programs; housing programs; Head Start; adult education; CTE; and job training, as applicable to your school

Coordination of programs and use of all funding sources (state, federal FLVS does not receive local funding) is a joint responsibility of the district full time leadership and finance team, school principals, and the financial services team with which the school has contracted for some of these services.

FLVSFT team will coordinate Title I, Part A and Title II, Part A funds to provide supplement professional development activities to teachers and staff in the K-8 and 9-12 schools. The professional development activities provided through Title I and Title II were collaborated upon during the needs assessment and are included in the Title I and Title II applications based on the decisions made after review of student and teacher data. An example of the training includes; Ruby Payne Math Strategies and Reading for Meaning. Additional services are provided to ensure students requiring intervention are provided through Title I, Part A and IDEA. FLVSFT schools will be carrying out the services and activities that are designed to improve the quality of the teaching force and ultimately student achievement. FLVSFT does not receive funds for Title I, Part C; Title I, Part D, Title III, or Title X.

Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support School Improvement Goals This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards. Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals: Goal #2: Increase the percentage of students earning a Level 4 on the FCAT Reading 2.0 from 39% to 42%. Barrier #2: Increasing complexity of test as grade level increases. Strategy #1: Provide teachers with authentic information and examples regarding the difficulty of reading passages and question complexity consistent with FCAT 2.0. Action Step #1: Course walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student work samples Facilitator leader FLVS FT Administration, Reading coaches, Connections Academy, Accountability, Assessment **Participants** Principal Assistant Principals Reading/Literacy coaches Teachers Target dates or schedule Throughout the school year. Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring Progress monitoring assessments Module exams (Person Responsible: Principal Assistant Principals Reading/Literacy Coaches Counselors Assessment team Teachers) Strategy #2: Ensure effective reading strategies are being taught and reinforced in all courses and through Live Lessons. Action Step #1: Course walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student work samples Facilitator leader Professional Development Team **Participants** Principals Reading/Literacy Coaches Teachers Target dates or schedule Throughout the school year. Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring Progress monitoring assessments Module exams (Person Responsible: Principal **Assistant Principals** Reading/Literacy Coaches Counselors Assessment team Teachers)

**Goal #3:** Increase the percentage of students earning a Level 3.5 or higher on FCAT 2.0 Writing from 59% to 62%.

Barrier #1: Difficulty with the writing prompt.

**Strategy #1:** Professional Development for teachers regarding FCAT 2.0 Writing rubric and writing opportunities in varied curricular areas.

Action Step #1: Use of progress monitoring strategies to guide instruction and allow for differentiated instruction based on student individual needs. Analysis of school-wide practice writing data. Collection of student writing samples Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs

#### **Facilitator leader**

FLVS FT Administration, Reading coaches, Connections Academy, Accountability, Assessment

#### Participants

Principals Assistant Principals Assessment and Accountability Team Teachers Reading/Literacy Coaches

#### Target dates or schedule

Quarterly

#### Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Practice Writing assessment results br />Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs Student writing sample data (Person Responsible: Principals Assistant Principals Assessment and Accountability Team Teachers Reading/Literacy Coaches District support)

**Goal #5:** Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Geometry EOC from 59% to 62%.

Barrier #2: Deficiencies in mathematical development especially with basic skill.

**Strategy #1:** Geometry PLC will review scaffolding strategies to implement into curriculum through Live Lessons and one-on-one assistance.

Action Step #1: Evaluation of lessons where students need the greatest amount of assistance. Course and Live Lesson observations/walkthroughs PLC record and logs

#### **Facilitator leader**

FLVS FT Administration, Math coaches, Connections Academy, Accountability, Assessment, PD, STEM

#### Participants

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach

#### Target dates or schedule

monthly

#### Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Data from Geometry PLC - analyzed and reviewed course content and Live Lesson reviews from previous year. Implementation skill building materials.

(Person Responsible: Principal

Assistant Principals

PLC Leader

Math Teachers

Math Coach)

**Goal #6:** Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Algebra EOC from 53% to 56%.

Barrier #2: Deficiencies in mathematical development especially with basic skill.

**Strategy #1:** Algebra PLC will review scaffolding strategies to implement into curriculum through Live Lessons and one-on-one assistance.

Action Step #1: Evaluation of lessons where students need the greatest amount of assistance. Course and Live Lesson observations/walkthroughs PLC record and logs

#### **Facilitator leader**

FLVS FT Administration, Math coaches, Connections Academy, Accountability, Assessment, PD, STEM

#### Participants

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach

#### Target dates or schedule

monthly

#### Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Data from Algebra PLC - analyzed and reviewed course content and Live Lesson reviews from previous year. Implementation skill building materials.

(Person Responsible: Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Math Teachers Math Coach)

**Goal #7:** Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Biology EOC from 71% to 74%.

**Barrier #1:** Level of understanding in the Biology course.

Strategy #1: Focus on teaching and reinforcing science process skills.

Action Step #1: Biology PLC meetings Biology Lesson Study within PLC to determine areas of skill development. Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs

#### **Facilitator leader**

FLVS FT Administration, Math coaches, Connections Academy, Accountability, Assessment, PD, STEM

#### Participants

Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Biology Teachers

Target dates or schedule

monthly

#### Evidence of Completion and Person Responsible for Monitoring

Data derived from Biology module assessments. br />Data from Live Lessons and EOC reviews. Discussion Based Assessments (Person Responsible: Principal Assistant Principals PLC Leader Biology Teachers )

buuyei S	ummary b	y Goal		
Goal	Descriptio	n		Total
Goal #2	Increase th from 39%	he percentage of students earning a Level 4 on the FCAT Reading 2.0 to 42%.		\$3,80
Goal #3		e percentage of students earning a Level 3.5 or higher on FCAT 2.0 n 59% to 62%.		\$2,00
Goal #5	Increase th from 59%	the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Geometry EOC to 62%.		\$1,89
Goal #6	Increase th from 53%	the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Algebra EOC to 56%.		\$2,52
Goal #7	Increase th from 71%	the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Biology EOC to 74%.		\$1,89
	Total			\$12,10
	<u> </u>			
Resource		y Resource Type and Funding Source	Professional	Total
Resource	Type		Development	Total
Title I			\$5,800	\$5,80
Title II			\$6,300	\$6,3
Total			\$12,100	\$12,1
Dudarat D				
Budget D	etan			
Goal #2.				
	Increase th	ne percentage of students earning a Level 4 on the FCA	AT Reading 2.0	) from 39% to
42%.			AT Reading 2.0	) from 39% to
42%. <b>Barrier #</b>	2: Increasi	ng complexity of test as grade level increases.	-	
42%. Barrier # Strategy	2: Increasi #1: Provid		-	
42%. Barrier # Strategy reading p	2: Increasi #1: Provid assages ar	ng complexity of test as grade level increases. e teachers with authentic information and examples reg	garding the diff	
42%. Barrier # Strategy reading p Action Si Resource	2: Increasin #1: Provid assages an tep #1: Co e Type	ng complexity of test as grade level increases. e teachers with authentic information and examples reg nd question complexity consistent with FCAT 2.0.	garding the diff	
42%. Barrier # Strategy reading p Action St Resource Resource	2: Increasin #1: Provid assages an tep #1: Co e Type e	ng complexity of test as grade level increases. e teachers with authentic information and examples reg nd question complexity consistent with FCAT 2.0. urse walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student of Professional Development	garding the diff	
42%. Barrier # Strategy reading p Action Si Resource Resource Funding	2: Increasin #1: Provid assages an tep #1: Co e Type e Source	ng complexity of test as grade level increases. e teachers with authentic information and examples reg nd question complexity consistent with FCAT 2.0. urse walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student v Professional Development Title I	garding the diff	
42%. Barrier # Strategy reading p Action St Resource Resource	2: Increasin #1: Provid assages an tep #1: Co e Type e Source	ng complexity of test as grade level increases. e teachers with authentic information and examples reg nd question complexity consistent with FCAT 2.0. urse walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student of Professional Development	garding the diff	
42%. Barrier # Strategy reading p Action Si Resource Resource Funding Amount I Strategy	2: Increasin #1: Provid assages an tep #1: Co e Type e Source Needed	ng complexity of test as grade level increases. e teachers with authentic information and examples reg nd question complexity consistent with FCAT 2.0. urse walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student v Professional Development Title I \$3,800 e effective reading strategies are being taught and reinf	garding the diff	culty of
42%. Barrier # Strategy reading p Action Si Resource Resource Funding Amount I Strategy through L	2: Increasin #1: Provid assages an tep #1: Co e Type e Source Needed #2: Ensure ive Lesson	ng complexity of test as grade level increases. e teachers with authentic information and examples reg nd question complexity consistent with FCAT 2.0. urse walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student v Professional Development Title I \$3,800 e effective reading strategies are being taught and reinf	garding the diffi work samples	culty of
42%. Barrier # Strategy reading p Action Si Resource Resource Funding Amount I Strategy through L	2: Increasin #1: Provid assages an tep #1: Co a Type a Source Needed #2: Ensure ive Lesson tep #1: Co	ng complexity of test as grade level increases. e teachers with authentic information and examples reg nd question complexity consistent with FCAT 2.0. urse walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student of Professional Development Title I \$3,800 e effective reading strategies are being taught and reinf s.	garding the diffi work samples	culty of
42%. Barrier # Strategy reading p Action Si Resource Funding Amount I Strategy through L Action Si	2: Increasin #1: Provid assages an tep #1: Co e Type Source Needed #2: Ensure ive Lesson tep #1: Co e Type	ng complexity of test as grade level increases. e teachers with authentic information and examples reg nd question complexity consistent with FCAT 2.0. urse walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student of Professional Development Title I \$3,800 e effective reading strategies are being taught and reinf s. urse walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student of	garding the diffi work samples	culty of
42%. Barrier # Strategy reading p Action Si Resource Funding Amount I Strategy through L Action Si Resource	2: Increasin #1: Provid assages an tep #1: Co e Type e Source Needed #2: Ensure ive Lesson tep #1: Co e Type	ng complexity of test as grade level increases. e teachers with authentic information and examples reg nd question complexity consistent with FCAT 2.0. urse walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student of Professional Development Title I \$3,800 e effective reading strategies are being taught and reinf s. urse walkthroughs Course instruction reviews Student of Professional Development	garding the diffi work samples	culty of

Goal #3: Increase the percentage of students earning a Level 3.5 or higher on FCAT 2.0 Writing from 59% to 62%.

Barrier #1: Difficulty with the writing prompt.

Strategy #1: Professional Development for teachers regarding FCAT 2.0 Writing rubric and writing opportunities in varied curricular areas.

Action Step #1: Use of progress monitoring strategies to guide instruction and allow for differentiated instruction based on student individual needs. Analysis of school-wide practice writing data. Collection of student writing samples Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs

**Resource Type Professional Development** 

Resource

Funding Source Title I \$2,000 Amount Needed

Goal #5: Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Geometry EOC from 59% to 62%.

Barrier #2: Deficiencies in mathematical development especially with basic skill.

Strategy #1: Geometry PLC will review scaffolding strategies to implement into curriculum through Live Lessons and one-on-one assistance.

Action Step #1: Evaluation of lessons where students need the greatest amount of assistance. Course and Live Lesson observations/walkthroughs PLC record and logs

**Resource Type Professional Development** 

Resource

Funding Source Title II Amount Needed \$1,890

Goal #6: Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Algebra EOC from 53% to 56%.

Barrier #2: Deficiencies in mathematical development especially with basic skill.

Strategy #1: Algebra PLC will review scaffolding strategies to implement into curriculum through Live Lessons and one-on-one assistance.

Action Step #1: Evaluation of lessons where students need the greatest amount of assistance. Course and Live Lesson observations/walkthroughs PLC record and logs

**Professional Development Resource Type** Resource Funding Source Title II Amount Needed \$2,520

**Goal #7:** Increase the percentage of students passing (Level 3 or higher) the Biology EOC from 71% to 74%.

**Barrier #1:** Level of understanding in the Biology course.

Strategy #1: Focus on teaching and reinforcing science process skills.

Action Step #1: Biology PLC meetings Biology Lesson Study within PLC to determine areas of skill development. Course/Live Lesson walkthroughs

	-
<b>Resource Type</b>	Professional Development
Resource	
Funding Source	Title II
Amount Needed	\$1,890